

Role of Ontologies in Semantic Digital Libraries

Sebastian Ryszard Kruk,

Bernhard Haslhofer, Piotr Piotrowski, Adam Westerski, Tomasz Woroniecki

Sebastian Ryszard Kruk sebastian.kruk@deri.org

© Copyright 2005 Digital Enterprise Research Institute. All rights reserved. NKOS Workshop, 2006 21/09/2006 Alicante, Spain

Takeaway home message



www.deri.org



- Ontologies play the key role in the semantic digital libraries
- We need ontologies supporting the main aspects of contemporary knowledge repositories:
 - Bibliographic descriptions
 - Extensible structure of resources
 - Community-aware features

Presentation overview



- Motivations
- What is a semantic digital library?
- Bibliographic Ontology
- Structure Description Ontology
- Community-aware Ontology
- Ontologies in JeromeDL
- Future Mash-up Digital Libraries
- Conclusions



www.deri.org

- Networks of digital libraries linger for more expressive interoperability solutions than existing ones
- Community-aware solutions change the face of the Internet as we knew it digital libraries should be a part of these changes



• Multimedia play bigger and bigger role on the Internet, while there is a need for accessible and adaptive access solutions

Making Semantic Web real.

What is a Semantic Digital Library?

Digital Enterprise Research Institute

Semantic digital libraries

- integrate information based on different metadata, e.g.: resources, user profiles, bookmarks, taxonomies
- provide interoperability with other systems (not only digital libraries) on either metadata or communication level or both
- delivering more robust, user friendly and adaptable search and browsing interfaces empowered by semantics







Semantic Web Technologies for Digital Libraries?

www.deri.org

Metadata is the key concept

- the Web does not have metadata
 - the idea of a Semantic Web is nice but difficult to implement
- many digital libraries do have metadata in place

RDF:

- is a framework to model any kind of metadata
- it delivers certain level of technical interoperability





Making Semantic Web real.

Application Areas for Semantic Web Technologies

Digital Enterprise Research Institute

- Thesauri & Controlled Vocabularies
 - qualified DublinCore
 - DMoz, DDC-based taxonomies
 - SKOS, WordNet and other thesauri
- Schema Mappings / Crosswalks
 - MarcOnt Ontology aims to cover concepts from MARC21, BibTeX and DublinCore
 - MarcOnt Mediation Services an open mediation framework between common legacy metadata standards
- Metadata Integration
 - RDF as a common data model for integrating metadata from various autonomous and heterogeneous data sources
 - OWL for modeling the data source's semantics
 - SPARQL as a common query language





Semantic DL as Evolving Knowledge Space



www.deri.org

- In state-of-the-art digital libraries users are consumers: Retrieve contents based on available bibliographic records
- Recent trends: user communities
 - Connetea, del.icio.us
 - Flickr
- In Semantic digital libraries users are contributers as well
 - Tagging (Web 2.0), Annotations
 - Social Semantic Collaborative Filtering
- Semantic Digital libraries enforce the transition from a static information to a dynamic (collaborative) knowledge space

Bibliographic Ontologies





www.deri.org

- Build to capture the semantics of the legacy metadata
- Examples of bibliographic ontologies:
 - MarcOnt ontology aiming at capturing concepts from MARC21 and BibTeX
 - RDF Schema for FRBR

MarcOnt Ontology – Main Concepts

Digital Enterprise Research Institute

www.deri.org





Generating various bibliographic descriptions



Digital Enterprise Research Institute

www.deri.org

 All resources are described in MarcOnt Ontology, but user can access MARC21, BibTeX and DublinCore descriptions generated on the fly

	DERINTERNATIONAL	Search In I RSS
	MarcOnt	
DublinCore	<pre><rdf:rdf> Tomas Vitvar D04.01 WSMO, W and WSMX Working Groups Participation</rdf:rdf></pre>	MARC21
BibTeX —	<pre>cmarcont:hasCreator rdf:resource='mailto:tomas.vitvar@deri.org' /> <marcont:hascreator rdf:resource="http://www.marcont.org/ontology#8778119"></marcont:hascreator> <rdf:bescription rdf:nodeld="nodelOoqnbtcax1"> <rdf:subject <rdf:predicate="" rdf:resource="http://www.marcont.org/ontology/marcont.owl#creator"></rdf:subject> <rdf:bescription rdf:resource="http://www.marcont.org/ontology/marcont.owl#creator"></rdf:bescription> <rdf:bescription rdf:resource="http://www.marcont.org/ontology/marcont.owl#creator"></rdf:bescription> <rdf:bescription rdf:resource="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer">1 </rdf:bescription></rdf:bescription></pre>	ır >
	BibTeX BibTeXML BibTeX-RDF Dublin Core MARC21 MARC XML MARC-RDF MarcOnt]

Mediation service used during searching



www.deri.org

Digital Enterprise Research Institute

• User can select from wide range of description **properties**, defined in **different metadata**, during query building



FRBR and Bibliographic Ontology



Digital Enterprise Research Institute

- FRBR was published in 1998 -> does not address virtual electronic resources except as downloadable copies of documents
- conceptual model based on entities-attributed-relationships



FRBR and Bibliographic Ontology





- Semantic Web is based on "entity" metadata for resources (documents, people, concepts, etc.)
- FRBR and RDF
 - RDF Schema: http://vocab.org/frbr/core
 - 13 distintive classes
 - 48 properties (most of them with coupled with their inverse counterparts)

Structure Ontology



www.deri.org

- Describing structure of resources with RDF allows flexible content model
- Library resource can be decomposed into sub-resources
- Each part of the resource can be later additionally annotated to deliver:
 - Accessibility features (using e.g. WAI ontology)
 - Adaptive hypermedia (with an appropriate user client)
 - Rendering to different platforms
- Library resource can be:
 - Easily extended with new type of content
 - Versioned and internationalized
 - Decomposed to deliver fine-grained access control

Example of the structure description



Digital Enterprise Research Institute



Community-aware Ontology



www.deri.org

Digital Enterprise Research Institute

- Bibliographic descriptions were always too complex for average user
- Seems that Semantic Web is suffering similar problems with understanding by larger group of users
- The notion of community-based computing (so called Web 2.0) gains larger and large group of users



Making Semantic Web real.

Community Tagging

- One of the key aspects of Web 2.0 apart from collaboration is the simplicity of descriptions (so called tagging)
- What do people tag:
 - Resources (URLs): del.icio.us, connotea.org
 - Photos: flickr.com
 - Events: upcoming.org
- How do people tag:
 - Free tagging any keyword goes
 - Controlled/suggested vocabulary based on established folksonomy
 - Geo-tagging drag&drop (Flickr Maps), GPS info (Google Maps)



Folksonomies





- A tag itself has no meaning
- A tag within a context of other tags or some actions/states has meaning
- We can come up with an ontology based on:
 - Groups of tags users use
 - Keywords in users' queries
 - Explicitly defined groups of tags (e.g. bundles in del.icio.us)
- Folksonomies are reverse-engineered ontologies of users' tagging/querying actions

What is Social Semantic Collaborative Filtering?



www.deri.org

- Goal: to enhance individual bookmarks with shared knowledge within a community
- Users annotate catalogues of bookmarks with semantic information taken from taxonomies or thesauri
- Catalogs can include (*transclusion*) friend's catalogues
- Access to catalogues can be restricted with social networkingbased polices
- SSCF delivers:
 - Community-oriented, semantically-rich taxonomies
 - Information about a user's interest
 - Flows of expertise from the domain expert

Example of Social Semantic Collaborative Filtering



Digital Enterprise Research Institute





Social Semantic Collaborative Filtering



www.deri.org

Digital Enterprise Research Institute



JeromeDL – Social Semantic DL



Digital Enterprise Research Institute

www.deri.org

- Digital Library build with semantics and communities in mind
- Build to reflect requirements of:
 - Librarians
 - Researchers
 - Average users
- Ultimate goal accessiblity achieved through
 - Interface design
 - Search and browsing technologies
 - In-depth internationalization effort

Ontologies in JeromeDL





- Structure (system administrators):
 - JeromeDL structure ontology
- Bibliographic and legacy descriptions (domain experts and expert users):
 - MarcOnt bibliographic ontology
 - Extensible MarcOnt suggestions
- Communities (normal users, expert users with restricted vocabulary):
 - FOAF and FOAFRealm identity management ontology
 - Social semantic collaborative filtering (SSCF) ontology
 - Semantical Interlinked Online Communities (SIOC) ontology

Ontologies in JeromeDL



www.deri.org

Digital Enterprise Research Institute



JeromeDL – Delivering Semantic Content

Digital Enterprise Research Institute



- Providing semantic annotations during uploading process:
 - open module for handling any taxonomies
 - keywords based on WordNet and free tagging
 - defining structure of resources in the JeromeDL ontology
- Lifting legacy metadata to MarcOnt ontology
- Community maintained annotations
 - social semantic collaborative filtering
 - semantic descriptions based on the FOAF metadata



JeromeDL – Semantic Information In Use



- Keyword-based search with semantic query expansion
- Semantic search:
 - Direct RDF quering
 - Natural language templates
- Social Semantic Collaborative Filtering
- Heterogeneous communication:
 - Bibster
 - A9
 - OAI



Mash-Up Digital Libraries

Digital Enterprise Research Institute



- Business world aims towards SOA to easily integrate, choreograph and orchestrate existing services
- Users tend to mash-up various Web 2.0 services to deliver solutions answering their needs
- Community-aware semantic digital libraries can easily become one of the mashed-up services
- But the real challenge is to build mash-up features directly into the digital library to provide users with completely new experience of browsing beyond the resources of DLs.

Conclusions



- Ontologies play a key role in the evolution of digital libraries
- Current streams of Semantic Web and Web 2.0 should and can be combined into the development of digital libraries
- We have identified 3 applications areas for ontologies: legacy, structure and community-aware descriptions
- However the future of DLs seems to lay beyond integration of information, reaching towards integration with other services



www.deri.org



[thank you]

Questions & Answers

Sebastian Ryszard Kruk sebastian.kruk@deri.org http://www.corrib.org/ DERI, NUI Galway, Ireland