Integrating Semi-formal Knowledge Organization Structures

5th ECDL 2006 NKOS workshop Alicante, Spain, 21SEP2006

Gilles Falquet Luka Nerima Claire-Lise Mottaz Jiang Jean-Claude Ziswiler

Centre universitaire d'informatique University of Geneva – Switzerland

Outline

Introduction: Building ontology-based Digital Libraries

Context

Hyperbook Alignment Process

Hyperbook Alignment Process (findings)

Selecting / validating relations through social networks

Conclusion

Hyperbooks

- Break the monolithic aspect of the documents



Digital Library built of hyperbooks

- Compare books
- Extend a book with the contents of others
- => New access methods / reading possibilities



Generating virtual documents out of the hyperbook structure through an interface specification



[Crampes], [Garlatti], [DeBra], [Brusilowsky], [Falquet]

Example: Virtual document of a Digital Library



Context

Wikipedia

encyclopaedia

architecture:

- articles (sub-articles)
- categories

collaboratively created (contains discussions)

content in plain text, difficult to process it automatically

Wikibooks (Wikiversity)

-> reuse of existing content?

Context

Semantic Wikipedia

[Völkel et al., WWW 2006]

encyclopaedia

architecture:

- articles (typed links between articles)
- ontology (RDF, RDFS, OWL)

RDF export

=> Where is the wiki in Semantic Wikipedia?

Context



Electronic versions of documents Book chapters Journal articles Web pages



Weakly structured KOS Glossaries Directories Indexes, Folksonomy Metadata annotated models (Learning Objects)

+ availability

- no or weak semantic structure
- not or hardly machine-processable



Highly structured KOS Ontologies Thesauri Concept maps Taxonomies

- + strong semantic structure
- not available
- difficult to construct
- + machine-processable

Hyperbook alignment process

Integrating the ontologies of the hyperbooks

Compute semantic similarity between concepts of the hyperbook ontologies



10 / 21

Hyperbook alignment process

Alignment method

Alignment method that works with less formalized (incomplete) ontologies, adapted from similarity function of [Rodríguez&Egenhofer03] by involving fragments:

- a * WM + b * FragM + c * NeighM(r) > t
- Word Matching (terms of the concepts, often 0.00)
- Fragment Matching (terms of the fragments, often > 0)
- Semantic Neighborhood Matching (terms of the concepts and fragments in the neighborhood)

Experiment with students that wrote hyperbooks about the course topics (within the hyperbook system that allows creating fragments / concepts / links)

Relations that indicate equal concepts

-> depends on the setting (variables a,b,c,r / threshold t)

Setting S1: 19 relations were found -> 12 of 12 equal relations (precision 63%, recall 100%)

Setting S2: 11 relations were found -> 9 of 12 equal relations (precision 82%, recall 75%)

Relations that indicate similar concepts

-> with S1 and S2, precision around 50%, very low recall

Strategy

- -> focus on high precision concerning relations indicates equal concepts?
- => few, but good quality relations



Strategy

- -> focus on high precision concerning relations indicates equal concepts?
- => few, but good quality relations



=> alternative access path (different from IR)

Strategy



Strategy



Selecting / validating relations through social networks

Starting point

- -> All links automatically generated through the alignment process are presented
- **3 possible interactions of the user:**
 - -> Follow these links
 - -> Definitely accept a link in the user's view
 - -> Definitely reject a link in the user's view
 - => not only a static validation of links (by statistical data)

Selecting / validating relations through social networks

Processes

- => Following or definitely accepting a link reinforces the quality of it (the quality of a link is measured by the similarity value calculated in the alignment process).
 - Local effect (one user): validation bottom disappears
 - Global effect (all users): rises the link quality
- => An explicit acceptance of a link by a user is stronger that the simple click on a link
- => Rejecting a link:
 - Local effect (one user): link disappears
 - Global effect (all users): decreases the link quality
- -> not limited to generated similarity links, applicable also to manually created links

Conclusion

- -> Hyperbook: small domain ontology and fragments
- -> Building Digital Libraries by aligning hyperbook ontologies
- => Alignment process to find equal concepts works also with small ontologies if fragments and the semantic neighborhood are included
- => Setting of variables / thresholds (= [Villa et al., 2004])
- => Instead of finding the right strategy for the alignment process settings, we use Social Networks to select / validate relations (!= [Villa et al., 2004])

Conclusion

Explicit vs. implicit representation of semantics

- -> Explicit representations are costly to produce
- -> Re-use of existing sources difficult, often not appropriated
- => Explicit representations in hyperbooks are useful
 - -> weakly structured KOS (not expensive to create)
 - -> allows (automatic) interlinkage of hyperbooks
 - -> pedagogical skills
 - -> Internal re-use of resources is possible (Wikipedia -> Wikibooks)
- => Next step: Integration of the hyperbook system into a (semantic) Mediawiki

Integrating Semi-formal Knowledge Organization Structures

Thank you!

Gilles Falquet Luka Nerima Claire-Lise Mottaz Jiang Jean-Claude Ziswiler

Centre universitaire d'informatique University of Geneva – Switzerland